



# Right To Life



*servicing*

Daviess • Martin • Pike • Greene

P.O. Box 41, Washington, Indiana 47501 — Phone: 812-486-3658

Web Site: [www.daviesscountyrtil.com](http://www.daviesscountyrtil.com) — Email Us At [dcrtl76@gmail.com](mailto:dcrtl76@gmail.com)

***“Abortion May Be Legal, But It Is Still Morally Wrong!”***

April - May - June - 2023

## Right to Life Banquet Set for Thursday, October 5, 2023



Daviess, Pike and Martin County Right to Life Groups have announced the speaker for this year’s banquet will be Kelly Lester. The banquet will be held on Thursday, October 5, 2023 at the Simon J. Graber Building.

For 15 years, Kelly had been running from the Lord, desperate to fill the hurting places in her heart with men, drugs, and every other kind of coping mechanism. But after nearly losing her life in a violent domestic fight, Kelly came to a place of surrender to the question God was asking of her. But God, do you know the things I’ve done?

“Yes, Kelly, but if you follow me, I will make beauty from ashes.” Kelly’s story is one that covers so many difficult and painful topics in our world to-

day. Child molestation, raped as a teen, several abortions, drug dealing, eating disorders, homosexuality, pornography, prostitution, and even working in the clinic where she had her first abortion. But beauty triumphs from the ashes, and Kelly is a testament to how God can clean all the dirty parts of a painful life story and make it brand new.

Now a wife and mother of six children, Kelly loves to share her story to give hope to the most desperate situations, proving that God can save anybody. She is currently a client advocate for LoveLine Ministries, works in Outreach and Government Engagement for And Then There Were None and Pro-Love Ministries, and a board member for Village Ansanm: Living Stones Ministries.

## Supreme Court Temporarily Blocks Lower Court Ruling Banning Mail-Order Abortions *by Steven Ertelt*

*Editor’s Note: I know it is hard to keep up on the abortion rulings as they come fast and furious. This is an example of just how fast they come, as two rulings came the same day; and then the U.S. Supreme Court stepped in to clarify just what is taking place.*

The Supreme Court has temporarily blocked a lower court ruling banning mail-order abortions and putting safety

measures in place to protect women who are currently being injured at high rates by the abortion pill.

The high court made the decision to allow both sides in the legal battle the chance to provide additional information and to allow it to more fully consider the case next week.

Also, another federal judge issued a contrasting ruling that allows the abortion pills to be sold without limits and up-

held the illegal FDA approval process. As LifeNews reported, the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals has issued a ruling that removes the national block a federal judge placed on the dangerous abortion pill. However, the appeals court also banned mail-order abortions that put women’s lives at risk, condemned the improper FDA approval process for the drug and restored strict limits on the drug meant to

**continued** on PAGE 2

protect women's health that the Biden administration removed.

In its order, a three-judge panel for the Fifth Circuit partly overruled Judge Matthew J. Kacsmaryk of the Northern District of Texas, who last week declared that the Food and Drug Administration's approval of mifepristone in 2000 was not valid. That allows the dangerous abortion pill to continue being sold nationwide.

But Joe Biden appealed the Fifth Circuit ruling because he wants abortions without limits — so the Department of Justice (DOJ) is asking SCOTUS to intervene in the case.

Justice Samuel Alito ordered that the lower court rulings are stayed until next Wednesday. He ordered the doctors group that brought the lawsuit to issue its response by Tuesday. A decision on whether to block the lower court rulings while the case itself moves forward is expected next week.

Alito wrote in the opinion of the Dobbs case that overturned Roe that the court could not regulate abortion, adding that that power rested with the "people and their elected representatives." Justice Brett Kavanaugh concurred, saying that the court did not "possess the constitutional authority ... to decree either pro-life or pro-choice abortion policy for all 330 million people in the United States." That ruling could portend good things for pro-life Americans hoping that limits on the abortion pill would stay in place.

Earlier, in separate requests for emergency relief, the Justice Department and Danco Laboratories, the maker of the dangerous abortion pill, asked the high court to put on hold the full decision from U.S. District Judge Matthew Kacsmaryk. "The district court countermanded a scientific judgment FDA has maintained across five administrations; nullified the approval of a drug that has been safely used by millions of Americans over more than two decades; and upset reliance interests in a health-care system that depends on the availability of mifepristone as an alternative to surgical abortion for women who choose to lawfully terminate their early pregnancies," the Justice Department wrote to the court.

Solicitor General Elizabeth Prelogar asked the high court to put the district court's decision, issued one week ago, on ice while proceedings in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit continue. She also asked the court to issue an immediate administrative stay to preserve mifepristone's availability while it considers the Biden administration's request. "The abrupt shift in the regulatory landscape that would be required by the lower courts' orders raises a host of unprecedented issues and has put FDA and regulated entities in an impossible position," the Justice Department said. In its separate request for relief, Danco said the Fifth Circuit's "unprecedented order has created regulatory chaos across the country."

"The Justice Department strongly disagrees with the Fifth Circuit's decision in *Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine v. FDA* to deny in part our request for a stay pending appeal," Attorney General Merrick Garland said in a statement Thursday, before the Justice Department formally made its request. "We will be seeking emergency relief from the Supreme Court to defend the

FDA's scientific judgment and protect Americans' access to safe and effective reproductive care."

The removal also included allowing abortion pills to be sold by mail — which further put women's lives and health at risk. The appeals court order temporarily reinstated all of those pro-life limits on the abortion drug. The Justice Department is likely to appeal the order to the Supreme Court because Joe Biden and Democrats want absolutely no limits on killing babies in abortions.

In its decision, the Fifth Circuit ruled that abortionists are no longer allowed to send chemical abortion drugs through the mail, which the FDA had been allowing since 2021, in direct violation of longstanding federal law. The appeals court agreed that the Comstock Act prohibits mailing abortion drugs and it said the doctors who brought the case had legal standing because they had treated so many women injured by abortion drugs.

The appeals court said that "as a result of FDA's failure to regulate this potent drug, these doctors have had to devote significant time and resources to caring for women experiencing mifepristone's harmful effects. This harm is sufficiently concrete."

"This decision shines a light on something that the Biden Administration wants to sweep under the rug — that these drugs do not treat or cure disease but kill unborn children and expose their mothers to dangerous side effects. The FDA should be in the business of ensuring safety, not in taking lives," said Carol Tobias, president of National Right to Life.

Tobias continued, "The abortion industry has pushed for lowering protections for women undergoing a chemical abortion, while it peddles lies about the ease of the method."

Mifepristone is used in combination with misoprostol, a prostaglandin, to cause an abortion. Mifepristone blocks progesterone, leading to the death of the unborn baby, while the second drug, misoprostol, causes powerful, painful uterine contractions to expel the dead or dying baby.

## Dubois County Right to Life Will Soon Offer Pregnancy Care Services *By Louis Kavanaugh Jr.*

Mike and Steve Uebelhor of Dubois Co. RTL have announced plans to open what they call Trotter House which is affiliated with the Evansville Group. Dubois Co. has the building and the facility nearly completed and hope to open in the near future. This will help expectant mothers and children. Trotter House centers are opening in Posey this month and in Dubois in May and in Gibson County in June. This shows that what you hear from the liberal press that the pro-life movement doesn't care for the mother and child simply is not true. The Pro-Life & Right to Life Movement is doing whatever they can to help in their time of need for expectant mothers.

# Nancy Pelosi Rejects Archbishop's Call to Repent for Supporting Abortion: "His Problem, Not Mine" *by Micaiah Bilger*

Abortion activist Nancy Pelosi claimed she is "pro-life" because she cares about born children during a recent event at Georgetown University in Washington, D.C. She also rejected her archbishop's call to repent for supporting abortion.

A former U.S. House Speaker, Pelosi professes to be a devout Catholic but advocates for many policies that contradict Christian teachings, including abortion on demand.

Speaking at an event hosted by the university Center on Faith and Justice, the Democrat leader insisted that she both cares about children and supports killing them before they are born, the *Independent Journal Review* reports.

"I think I'm pro-life because I care about children and the rest," she said.

The California congresswoman went on to criticize her bishop, San Francisco Archbishop Salvatore Cordileone, for denying her communion because of her radical pro-abortion views. In 2021, Cordileone said Pelosi was championing pro-abortion legislation that amounts to "child sacrifice" — what "one would expect from a devout Satanist, not a devout Catholic" — and refused to repent of her sin. "I have a problem with my archbishop, well the archbishop of the city I represent," Pelosi said Thursday. "But I figure that's his problem, not mine."

Implying that she is more Catholic than many church leaders, Pelosi said some bishops are "willing to abandon the bulk" of church teachings to focus too heavily on protecting unborn babies from abortion, according to *America Magazine*. She said she believes women have the "free will" to make decisions about their healthcare. By healthcare, she meant killing unborn babies

in elective abortions. Here's more from the report:

Asked about how her faith squares with her support for [the LGBT agenda], Ms. Pelosi lamented what she called "the very negative, anti-LGBTQ stuff coming from our archbishop," referring to Archbishop Cordileone's advocacy for the church's traditional teaching on human sexuality....

"Right now, our challenge are trans kids," Ms. Pelosi said Thursday.

"I see kids on the streets of San Francisco, where they are because their families disowned them," she said. "All God's children, they have their own dignity and worth, their own individuality, their own authenticity. And that's a beautiful thing for us to embrace God's creation."

Before children are born, however, Pelosi has the opposite view. Among other things, she wants to force taxpayers to pay for elective abortions and force nuns who serve the poor and elderly to cover contraception that may cause abortions in their employee health plans. Once, she even called late-term abortions "sacred ground."

As U.S. House Speaker in 2021, Pelosi led Democrats in passing the most radical pro-abortion bill in U.S. history, nicknamed the Abortion Without Limits Up to Birth Act. The bill, which failed in the U.S. Senate, would have forced states to legalize killing unborn babies for any reason up to birth and forced taxpayers to pay for elective abortions.

In November, voters kicked out a number of the lawmakers who voted for the bill and elected a pro-life Republican majority to the U.S. House.

# Joe Biden Faces Lawsuit for Hiding Info on Attacks on Churches, Pregnancy Centers *by Micaiah Bilger*

Joe Biden's administration is accused of hiding information about its response to hundreds of attacks on pro-life organizations and churches in a new lawsuit. Earlier this week, The Heritage Foundation and Advancing American Freedom filed the lawsuit against the Department of Justice, accusing its leaders of failing to answer Freedom of Information Act requests about how it is handling the attacks, according to the *Catholic News Agency*.

Many pro-life advocates and lawmakers have accused the Biden administration of using the Department of Justice as a political weapon, arresting peaceful pro-life advocates while appearing to ignore numerous violent attacks by pro-abortion activists.

LifeNews counted approximately 250 abortion-related attacks in 2022, not including attacks on churches that did not appear to be directly related to the issue. CatholicVote recorded at least 141 attacks on Catholic churches since May 2022. However, the Department of Justice only has announced two arrests thus far.

"It is clear that President Biden's politicized Department of Justice is fearful of igniting the wrath of the far left and abortion extremists," said J. Marc Wheat, general counsel for Advancing American Freedom, in a statement to CNA. "The American people have a right to know why those who burned and vandalized pregnancy centers have not been held accountable for their violence against traditional conservatives and pro-life groups."

In the lawsuit, Wheat's organization and Heritage said they asked for documents from the department Sept. 30, including internal communications about the federal agency's investigation of crimes against pro-life organizations and churches, as well as communications between the department and the Domestic Policy Council and the White House. However, they said the department failed to "promptly review agency records for the purpose of locating and collecting those records" and "conduct searches for responsive records" as federal regulations require, according to CNA.

**continues** on NEXT PAGE



The two conservative organizations said they filed the lawsuit only after they “exhausted their administrative remedies” to obtain answers. According to the lawsuit, the DOJ contends that it needs more time to fill the request, and the FBI claimed the request did not “contain enough descriptive information to permit a search of our records.”

Mike Howell, director of the Heritage Foundation Oversight Project, slammed Attorney General Merrick Garland as a “disgrace” for his lack of action. “That’s why we asked for these documents, and it’s why the DOJ refuses to give them up — they know that what Americans would learn will not be pretty,” Howell said in a statement. “That’s also why we’re not going to stop fighting until we get them.

“They refuse to prosecute those who violently attack pro-life organizations simply for existing and who attempt to coerce and intimidate Supreme Court justices into ruling the way the mob desires,” he continued. “Meanwhile, they send SWAT teams to the homes of pro-life Americans to arrest and prosecute them on trumped-up, phony charges.”

Churches and pro-life organizations across the world have been hit by a wave of vandalism, threats and other crimes within the past two years. Last week, a New York pregnancy resource center was attacked for the second time in nine months when someone defaced its sign with graffiti; last June, the pro-life organization was set on fire, causing approximately half a million dollars in damage.

Earlier this month, threats were written and windows were smashed at First Care pregnancy center in Minneapolis, Minnesota ahead of a pro-abortion protest. Two students also were arrested and charged with felonies at the University of Florida this month for allegedly stealing materials from the pro-life organization Created Equal and resisting arrest.

In November, FBI Director Christopher Wray admitted that most of the abortion-related attacks in the past year have targeted pro-life organizations. “Since the Dobbs decision, probably in the neighborhood of 70 percent of our abortion-related violence cases or threats cases are cases of violence or threats against ... pro-life organizations,” he told a U.S. Senate committee.

Many pro-life organizations are increasing security, and Republican lawmakers are demanding action from the Biden administration to crack down on the growing pro-abortion domestic terrorism. But, while the Biden administration has been aggressively working to expand the killing of unborn babies in abortions, it has done little to stop the hostilities directed at pro-life advocates and churches.

*Editor’s Note: This story on the LifeNews Web Site listed 274 instances of attacks to pro-life and pregnancy care centers across the country.*

## President Biden’s Job Approval Number Near All-Time Low *by Dave Andrusko*

Recently, we wrote about how “New poll continues stretch of bad numbers for President Biden.” His job approval rating was a dismal 41%, while Vice President Kamala Harris’s was even bleaker – 36% – according to the Monmouth University survey. Biden’s numbers were “stagnating.” But if 41% was just five points higher than the lowest figure for President Biden (last June it bottomed out at 36%), that lasted one day: it was down to 38%. The new AP-NORC poll explained:

Approval of President Joe Biden has dipped slightly since a month ago, nearing the lowest point of his presidency as his administration tries to project a sense of stability while confronting a pair of bank failures and inflation that remains stubbornly high.

That’s according to a new poll by The Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research, which shows there have been modest fluctuations in support for Biden over the past several months. The president notched an approval rating of 38% in the new poll, after 45% said they approved in February and 41% in January. His ratings hit their lowest point of his presidency last July, at 36%, as the full weight of rising gasoline, food and other costs began to hit U.S. households.

Writing for *National Review Online*, Charles C.W. Cooke examined the figures and concluded:

Because commentators tend to be so obsessed with horse races, they sometimes have trouble distinguishing between issues and personalities; and, in my estimation, that’s exactly what’s happened here. In 2022, the Democrats did better than they had expected to do. They did not get rid of the political liabilities that had led them to be disfavored in the first instance.

If the AP’s poll is correct, those liabilities — most notably inflation and the harsh remedies that it requires — are still with us, and Biden’s fate is still inextricably tied to them. Given the predictions for the near year, that would make me nervous if I were a Democrat. Looking ahead the most telling number may be the response to the question which direction is the country headed—positive or negative? Monmouth found the poll also finds only 22% of Americans say things in the country are going in the right direction while 72% say they have gotten off on the wrong track. Stay tuned.

**Please Remember:  
No other life issue is more important  
than the issue of abortion. The numbers  
don’t lie. Always vote pro-life!**

**“WITHOUT A GUARANTEED RIGHT TO LIFE,  
ALL OTHER RIGHTS ARE LEGAL FICTIONS”**

# Former President Donald Trump Continues to be Harassed by Liberal Democrats *by Louis Kavanaugh Jr.*

You wonder why the liberal Democrats are so afraid of this man. They are going out of their way to try and block him at every turn. Trump pleaded not guilty to more than 30 felony charges brought forth by George Soros-backed Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg in New York. This district attorney ran his campaign for the office with the intention to do everything he could to “get” the former president. He was very up front in what he said he would do. While the DA thinks he has a good case, many in the law profession feel it is a very weak case; but that does not mean he will not get traction in the liberal city of New York.

This particular DA (Bragg) has turned New York into a high crime city because of turning criminals out on the street after they have been arrested for carjacking, murder, robberies and other crimes that go unpunished; but he is more concerned in going after certain people he wants to prosecute like the former president. This is what is so unreal—the DA is going after the former president on what many legal experts feel is a weak case while he lets hardened criminals with a long list of crimes back out on the street.

George Soros-backed Liberal DAs from across the country are taking up the challenge to “Get Donald Trump.” This is not the only DA that is going after the former president. According to Donald Trump, “The only crime I’ve committed is to fearlessly defend our nation from those who seek to destroy it.” Trump referenced that since the outset of his foray into politics, the Democratic Party establishment has been seeking to destroy him, whether it be through spying on his 2016 campaign, accusing him of colluding with Russia, bringing forth an impeachment trial, bringing forth a second impeachment trial, or having the FBI raid his Mar-a-Lago home.

Many supporters of the former president feel the only reason for all these investigations is to keep him from running for president or to hurt his chances for returning to office as president. However polls indicate they may have the opposite effect. Some polls have suggested Trump surging in popularity and even beating Joe Biden. It is really hard to tell at this point what may happen. The judge in New York has said the case may not come to trial until December of this year and that would mean the trial may be going on during the early part of the 2024 presidential campaign when candidates would need to be busy in the heat of the primary presidential election battle. The judge presiding in this case, New York Supreme Court Justice Juan Merchan, has a daughter who has worked for Vice President Kamala Harris and he has strong ties to the Democratic Party, so

this judge just may have an agenda. Few expect Donald Trump to get a fair trial in the city of New York.

I guess the bottom line is: why are the Democrats so afraid of the former president? Could it be he is “not” one of the good old boys and he could upset the status quo? Former President Trump is beholden to no one and has said he seeks the office for the good of the country and not his political gain. During all of this President Biden is laying low and continues to move the country into his liberal agenda of open borders, abortion till the time of birth, cities with high crime rates, drugs flowing across the border. It’s very depressing, but we must continue to pray for our country. Stay tuned to see how this will turn out.

**You may wonder why this article appears in this newsletter. Well, former President Donald Trump was the most pro-life president we have ever had. He did so much for the pro-life movement by his appointments of Federal Judges, the appointments of three U.S. Supreme Court Judges, and his numerous appointments of pro-life people to key positions in his administration that were instrumental in spreading the pro-life agenda. We did not expect a president to do so much for the movement, and by doing so he has our deep gratitude. We can only hope that we elect a pro-life president in 2024, whether it be the former president again or someone else that will do as much for the pro-life movement and for the protection of the unborn. May God give our voters the wisdom to vote pro-life and do the right thing!**

## Recent Wall Street Poll Reason for Concern

*By Louis Kavanaugh Jr.*

According to a recent *Wall Street Journal* poll has given our country reason for grave concern. In 1998 people considered having religion very important at 62% and today it has dropped to 39%. In 1998 patriotism was very important at 70% and now that has dropped to 38%. In 1998 having children was considered important by 59% and that has dropped to 30%. We knew our country had taken a serious turn away from God, so this should not be a big surprise. But this recent poll has given us a grave concern as the WOKE mentality has raised its ugly head and our country has taken a serious turn for the worse and maybe reached a point of no return. Prayer is needed for our country.

## Please Note

**If pastors, clergy and ministers do not speak up that abortion is evil and sinful, how can we expect those people sitting in the pews of churches to know that abortion is wrong? It is past time to speak up! Think about it!**

# Here are Pharmacies That Don't Sell Abortion Drugs Killing Unborn Babies

by Anthony Iafate

In the wake of the Supreme Court decision overturning *Roe v. Wade* and various states enacting pro-life legislation, the Biden administration has pushed hard to increase the number of abortions being committed throughout the country. As part of that effort, the FDA in January changed its rules to allow chemical abortion pills such as Mifepristone to be sold in major brick-and-mortar pharmacies.

So far, CVS, Walgreens and Rite-Aid – three of the top five largest pharmacy chains in the United States – have taken steps to provide abortion-inducing drugs in their stores. The development has created a dilemma for pro-life Americans who currently have an active prescription with one of these three pharmacies and now wish to move it elsewhere.

**Here is a list of major pharmacy chains that, as of April 1, 2023 that do not offer Mifepristone or other abortion-inducing drugs.**

**Walmart:** Locations: 4,635 (in the United States) Areas served: nationwide, all 50 states and DC

**Kroger:** Locations: 1,190 Areas served: southern and Mid-western United States, 16 states (AL, AR, GA, IL, IN, KY, LA, MI, MO, MS, OH, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)

## How to Transfer Your Prescription

If you currently have a prescription in CVS, Walgreens, or Rite-Aid, and you would like to change it to one of the pharmacies listed above, do not fear! The process is simple and straightforward, and most of the work is done on the pharmacy's side.

The website GoodRx Health outlines three steps to make the change.

1. Call or go to the pharmacy to which you wish to move your prescription.
2. Provide your personal information (full name, date of birth, contact information, prescription, and medical information) to the new pharmacy.
3. Wait for the transfer to be completed (allow up to 3 days).

Additionally, you should provide your doctor's office with the new pharmacy information as soon as possible.

*Anthony Iafate writes for CatholicVote, where this column originally appeared.*

# Judge Rules Indiana Can Enforce Ban on Gruesome Dismemberment Abortion

LifeSiteNews, Indianapolis

Indiana may enforce its ban on so-called "dismemberment" abortion procedures, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana has ruled, although the law could still face a legal challenge based on a different argument in the future.

Last July, in light of the U.S. Supreme Court's *Dobbs* ruling to overturn *Roe v. Wade*, U.S. District Judge Sarah Evans Barker lifted the injunction on a state law that prohibits abortionists from "knowingly or intentionally perform[ing] a dismemberment abortion unless reasonable medical judgment dictates that performing the abortion is necessary: (1) to prevent any serious health risk to the mother; or (2) to save the mother's life."

Dilation and evacuation (D&E) abortion procedures, commonly used in the second trimester, are more commonly known as "dismemberment abortions" because they function by tearing a preborn baby apart limb by limb.

*Bloomberg Law* reports that on March 31, Barker ruled that *Roe's* overturn meant that abortionist Caitlin Bernard cannot prevail in her argument that the ban violates the U.S. Constitution's guarantee that individuals cannot be deprived of "life, liberty, or property, without due process of law" in the 14th Amendment.

However, Barker also gave Bernard 30 days to submit a new complaint, arguing that the ban violates a patient's "substantive due process claim based on her patients' right to bodily integrity" in the case of "emergency abortions," i.e., abortions sought to save a mother's life or health.

The law in question already contains an exception for physical risks to the mother, but "given that *Dobbs* does not define

'elective,' it is not clear whether an exception such as that in the dismemberment ban which is only for the life and health of the pregnant patient necessarily covers all medical emergencies, including those that would complicate a pregnancy to a point where it would require an immediate, non-elective abortion," Barker claimed.

Abortion defenders have long objected to the "dismemberment" label as inflammatory and misleading, even though the abortion industry itself has effectively admitted its accuracy. The National Abortion Federation's (NAF's) own instructional materials describe dismemberment abortions as "grasping a fetal part," then "withdraw[ing] the forceps while gently rotating it" to achieve "separation." Notorious late-term abortionist Warren Hern has described D&Es even more candidly: "[T]here is no possibility of denial of an act of destruction by the operator. It is before one's eyes. The sensations of dismemberment flow through the forceps like an electric current."

Defenders also claim that dismemberment abortions are the "safest" second-trimester procedure available (for the mother), but pro-lifers have long argued that the real reason abortionists prefer D&E abortions is because they can fit more into their schedule, and therefore make more money. In the wake of the Supreme Court's ruling overturning *Roe* and restoring states' ability to set their own abortion laws, Planned Parenthood has suspended abortions and/or closed locations across the country, and pro-life attorneys general have declared their intentions to enforce their states' duly enacted abortion prohibitions.



But leftist prosecutors in various localities have vowed not to enforce such laws, and pro-abortion activists have pursued a number of strategies to preserve abortion “access,” including enshrining “rights” to the practice in state constitutions, effectively insulating it from ordinary state legislation, as well as supporting interstate distribution of abortion pills and interstate travel for abortion.

Meanwhile, President Joe Biden has called on Congress to codify a “right” to abortion in federal law, which would not only restore but expand the *Roe* status quo by making it illegal for states to pass virtually any pro-life laws. Democrats currently lack the votes to do so, but whether they get those votes is sure to be one of the major issues of the 2024 elections.

## Economics or Eugenics?

by Bradley Mattes

Recent comments by an economics professor asserted that we need to abort the babies of poor women to eliminate poverty. This isn't a rogue extremist opinion but is reflective of a growing voice of those publicly advocating eugenics – elimination of the “undesirables.”

Those who agree reflect diverse, and in some cases powerful, individuals with disturbing agendas. Jason Lindo, an economics professor at Texas A&M University, has defended the FDA's sloppy and politically-motivated approval of the chemical abortion pill. A lawsuit by pro-life medical organizations and doctors against the FDA is pending in federal court. Without chemical abortion, he says, our nation would suffer a significant economic setback.

Unwanted babies, Lindo claims, will result in individuals who perform poorly in school, have increased behavioral issues and will achieve lower levels of completed education. Further, his crystal ball decrees they will earn less, have poorer health and are more likely to be criminals.

In one fell swoop, he has predestined an entire segment of society to a meager and possibly-criminal existence just because they were born into a poor environment. Many reading this blog may have been born into similar circumstances – or know those who have – but rose above Lindo's expectations, did well in society and became contributing members of society.

Lindo's condescending opinion isn't new. In 2005, the United Nations circulated a report titled *Reducing Poverty and Achieving the Millennium Development Goals: Arguments for investing in Reproductive Health and Rights*, which are code words for abortion-on-demand. The title says it all – abortion is the answer to poverty.



Not long ago, we listened in saddened dismay as Iceland bragged about “eradicating Down syndrome births.” They achieved this goal not by a landmark breakthrough in medicine, but by exterminating the patients via abortion. By following Iceland's similarly flat-earth assertion, eugenicists believe that babies of poor women will grow up to be a cancer on society and must be aborted. This will not decrease poverty, just as Iceland will not eradicate Down syndrome. Both are dark and evil agendas, destined to failure.

Advocates of eugenics have and may still occupy the U.S. Supreme Court. The late Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg said, “Frankly I had thought that at the time *Roe* was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don't want to have too many of. So that *Roe* was going to be then set up for Medicaid funding for abortion.” Imagine if a conservative justice had uttered those words!

Canada, through its euthanasia program, has taken eugenics to a desperate low. Government subsidy of the poor and disabled is so paltry that many have grown weary of struggling in poverty and are inquiring about Medical Aid in Dying as a preferred alternative to their despondent existence. Will this be Canada's legacy; that they financially pressured their citizens to opt for euthanasia, which is by far cheaper than medical costs and providing adequate benefits through Ontario Works? (the equivalent of our welfare) Another economist, Teresa Ghilarducci, claims abortion is responsible for lifting women from poverty.

In 2014, 75% of the women having abortions were of low income. But as the number of poor women having abortions dramatically increases, an unacceptable level of poverty continues to exist. Abortion is not the answer to poverty. It is cruel and patronizing that a woman is told she must kill her unborn child to advance economically. How are we different from Canada?

Women deserve better – yes, even the poor ones. If the choice before us were self-important economists or the unplanned, I'm betting on the latter.

**Daviess County Right To Life Monthly Meeting** is held the last week of each month at the Right To Life Office at 705 Troy Road. (Grace Baptist Church, Lower Level)  
**Phone: 812-486-3658**

**Daviess County Right To Life Aluminum Can Drive** is the first Saturday of February, April, June, August, October, December from 9 AM till 1 PM at the Tractor Supply parking lot (old K-Mart lot). Other pick up points around the county are from 9-12 noon. If you can help, call Louis Kavanaugh at 486-3658. It would be greatly appreciated.  
**Sorry, we no longer accept newsprint!**

**To Contact Congressman Larry Bucshon:**

1005 Longworth House Office Building,  
District of Columbia 20515-1408

**Phone:** (202) 225-4636 **Fax:** (202) 225-3284 **Web Site:**  
[www.bucshon.house.gov](http://www.bucshon.house.gov)

**DAVISS COUNTY RIGHT TO LIFE**  
**PO BOX 41**  
**WASHINGTON, IN 47501**

BULK RATE  
U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
SHOALS, IN 47581  
PERMIT NO. 18



**"No Other Issue More  
Important Than The Issue of  
Abortion!"**

Are you aware of what is going on in our country today with states like New York passing laws so that babies can be killed all the way up to the time of birth? What will happen next—will they come for the elderly? **Planned Parenthood** and other pro-abortion groups are all a part of this! Please support the local **Right to Life** with your contribution to help in the fight to defend life at all stages.

*If you have not renewed your membership, **now is the time!***

Use this coupon to mail in your contribution. Mail to:

**Daviess County Right To Life**  
**P. O. Box 41**  
**Washington, Indiana 47501**

Phone 812-486-3658

Our office location is: 705 Troy Road, Washington, Indiana 47501

Please accept my donation.

Please accept my membership/renewal for year (\$20 Individual, \$25 Family, \$50 Supporting).

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Street: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_